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Abstract: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is the most prevalent functional gastrointestinal disorder, affecting approximately 14% of the global population. Symptoms of IBS are some of the most common reasons that primary care providers refer patients to gastroenterologists. IBS has a significant economic impact on the healthcare system and greatly reduces patients’ quality of life. The precise cause of IBS remains unknown, but likely involves a variety of factors, such as infection, inflammation, medication, and stress, in a genetically predisposed individual. Physicians can diagnose patients with IBS by obtaining a careful history and physical examination, performing limited testing, and applying the Rome IV criteria. Treating IBS symptoms can be challenging, as no medication cures the disorder. Thus, treatment focuses on improving symptoms and quality of life. Many patients report that symptoms develop from, or are exacerbated by, food. A number of physiologic and biochemical processes can occur with food ingestion that may produce heightened symptoms of IBS. Therefore, dietary interventions to improve IBS symptoms appear to be a reasonable treatment approach. This article discusses the evidence supporting dietary interventions for the treatment of IBS.

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is the most commonly encountered functional gastrointestinal disorder, with a worldwide prevalence of approximately 14%.1 IBS is a chronic disorder for many patients and is associated with markedly elevated health care costs and a reduction in patients’ quality of life.2–4 The disorder can be diagnosed using the Rome IV criteria in combination with a careful history, physical examination, and limited diagnostic tests.2–4 Although the exact pathophysiology of IBS remains unknown and differs in extent and magnitude from patient to patient, alterations in the gut microbiome,5,6 disturbances in gastrointestinal motility, changes in the enteric nervous system, coexisting psychological distress, and visceral hypersensitivity all likely play a role.2–4 These different pathophysiologic processes lead to variations in symptom expression, making IBS a heterogeneous disorder. Targeted pharmacotherapy for IBS has been largely unfruitful due to a lack of clarity regarding local gastrointestinal nervous system and central modulation mechanisms involved in visceral hyperalgesia, as well as the
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multiple neurotransmitters involved in this hypersensitive state. Not surprisingly, treating IBS symptoms can very often be challenging, and no validated treatment algorithm exists.

A variety of pharmacologic therapies are available to treat IBS symptoms; however, many patients prefer to avoid medications and desire alternative approaches. Dietary modifications to treat IBS symptoms have received significant attention lately, in part due to the recognition that many IBS patients report that foods appear to induce or exacerbate their symptoms. Some patients believe they are able to identify the specific offending items; however, several studies show that when patients are rechallenged with the foods they perceive as triggers, they do not report the same symptoms. Although certain foods have been traditionally recognized as triggers for diarrhea, abdominal pain, gas, and bloating, no formal research existed to prove or disprove their cause-effect relationship or their therapeutic benefits until the 1940s, when reports of malabsorption of different carbohydrates, as well as their relationship with gastrointestinal symptoms, were first published.

The research methodology of studies for placebo-controlled dietary interventions requires a more sophisticated design than a drug or nutrient trial, during which a similar capsule or tablet without the active ingredient can be delivered to the control group. A properly controlled diet study can be performed by developing sham diets that are comparable in feasibility and complexity both for teaching and/or instructing (to minimize investigator bias) and for following (to minimize patient bias) when compared to the studied diet. Placebo and nocebo effects cannot be underplayed in these trials, as the clinical effects from a diet change can be influenced by several factors, including patients’ expectations, previous responses to particular diets, taste preferences, and personal and cultural beliefs regarding the impact of food in health. Therefore, a rigorous design is needed for the development of the control group in dietary advice trials.

A number of different diets are now promoted to treat IBS symptoms, and these include regimens that exclude carbohydrates, fermentable foods, gluten, and substances that might create food-related antibodies. Despite significant interest in this area from patients and providers, carefully controlled prospective studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of these diets remain limited. This article reviews the different diets available to treat IBS symptoms using the most recent data from the literature, focusing primarily on diets low in fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAPs) and excluding gluten, as these 2 diets have been the most carefully studied and are commonly employed by patients.

The Low-Fermentable Oligosaccharide, Disaccharide, Monosaccharide, and Polyol Diet

The low-FODMAP diet was developed at Monash University in Australia by Dr Peter Gibson and Dr Susan Shepherd, and is now commonly used to treat IBS symptoms, based on both biologic plausibility and evidence from prospective trials showing improvement in symptoms in approximately 75% of patients. The low-FODMAP diet has progressively gained ground in mainstream media over the last 12 years, with growing notations in websites, blogs, tweets, and vlogs. Food companies are now even incorporating the term into their labels. As of September 2018, a search for the term FODMAP identified over 11,000 videos on the YouTube platform and 180,000 posts on Instagram. Most Twitter posts mentioning the hashtag #lowFODMAP originate from Australia, the United States, the United Kingdom, and Canada.

Fermentable Oligosaccharides, Disaccharides, Monosaccharides, and Polyols and Irritable Bowel Syndrome Symptoms

FODMAPs are short-chain carbohydrates that are characterized by limited (or minimal) small intestine absorption, intense bacterial fermentation to short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), and high osmotic activity. Ong and colleagues demonstrated that dietary FODMAPs induced hydrogen and methane production in the intestines of patients with IBS. The changes in pH levels, and the probable changes in gut flora, may alter colonic epithelial function and may also cause local inflammation, thereby contributing to changes in colonic function. When superimposed on baseline symptoms of abdominal pain, these changes can significantly heighten gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with IBS, especially those of excessive gas, bloating, and loose stools.

Other research has proposed alternative mechanisms by which the ingestion of FODMAPs could cause symptoms; however, there are scant data to support the hypotheses. For example, elevated SCFAs could stimulate mucosal release of 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) and the production of histamine, causing a localized neuroinflammatory response involving mast cell activation. These factors could contribute to a detrimental change in intestinal secretion, sensitivity, and motility, causing or worsening IBS symptoms.

The potential role of a diet high in FODMAPs in the development of IBS symptoms can be seen in the Figure.

Phases of the Low-Fermentable Oligosaccharide, Disaccharide, Monosaccharide, and Polyol Diet

The low-FODMAP diet intervention for IBS patients consists of 3 distinct phases: the restriction or elimination...
phase, the reintroduction or rechallenge phase, and the maintenance or personalized phase. During the initial phase, patients eliminate FODMAPs from their diets. Importantly, the low-FODMAP diet is meant to last only 4 to 6 weeks, and it is essentially a method to determine whether symptoms are related to specific foods. It is not designed for long-term use. During the second phase, after noting symptom improvement or resolution, foods containing FODMAPs are reintroduced gradually, with the goal of identifying tolerances to individual ingredients and specific symptom triggers among fermentable carbohydrates. This phase lasts several weeks, if not longer, as foods are slowly reintroduced. After reviewing and interpreting results from the food rechallenge phase, the goals of the third phase are to continue the intake of foods that were well-tolerated and to restrict foods that produced symptoms (ie, trigger foods). As the tolerance to different FODMAPs can change over time, patients can attempt to reintroduce their trigger foods a few months after symptom control if they so desire.

**Study Results**

The results from all studies thus far, including observational case-control studies and randomized, controlled trials, generally support the use of a low-FODMAP diet for patients with IBS, as 50% to 80% of patients report some benefits compared to using a regular or habitual diet (Table 1). Two studies were conducted...
comparing the low-FODMAP diet to commonly recommended IBS diets (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [NICE] or modified NICE guidelines). NICE, modified NICE, and low-FODMAP diets were reported to be effective; however, one study showed significantly better results in the low-FODMAP diet group, particularly with regard to pain and bloating. A randomized study compared the low-FODMAP diet to a moderate-FODMAP Australian diet, finding better outcomes for IBS patients who followed the low-FODMAP diet.

The low-FODMAP diet has also been compared to nondietary interventions, including hypnotherapy and yoga. Gut-directed hypnotherapy has been shown to be comparably effective to a low-FODMAP diet approach; however, the combination of both interventions did not add any significant therapeutic benefits. Hatha yoga also appears to be beneficial to IBS patients and had a positive impact on patients’ symptoms, with similar results to a low-FODMAP diet.

Advantages of a Low–Fermentable Oligosaccharide, Disaccharide, Monosaccharide, and Polyol Diet

The main advantage of the low-FODMAP diet is in the positive results of several studies performed throughout the world, as a medication or intervention rarely elicits positive symptom control in over half of treated patients. The interest and benefits appear to extend beyond Western societies and English-speaking countries, with recent publications analyzing local diets and exploring the applicability of a low-FODMAP diet in South, East, and Southeast Asia. A well-conducted study from Colombia of 50 adult IBS patients showed improvement in both symptoms and quality of life. The results observed thus far in different trials show a consistent pattern and rate of response in patients with IBS treated with a low-FODMAP diet. However, a comprehensive meta-analysis by Dionne and colleagues analyzed 7 different low-FODMAP studies in IBS patients and concluded that the overall quality of the data was very low (using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation criteria).

Data on the long-term use of a low-FODMAP diet are limited. In a retrospective study by Maagaard and colleagues, some clinical benefit was observed in 57% to 74% of patients at 14 to 16 months follow-up.

Challenges of a Low–Fermentable Oligosaccharide, Disaccharide, Monosaccharide, and Polyol Diet

Well-known disadvantages of the low-FODMAP diet are its complexity (difficult to teach, difficult to follow, and labor-intensive), expense, and potential nutritional deficiencies. The first dietary management counseling appointment is estimated to last approximately 1 hour, which is extremely difficult for a busy primary care provider to perform in the current medical climate. This time commitment leads to some reluctance from physicians in recommending this diet to patients. Incomplete education may then lead to partial or complete noncompliance in clinical practice. In addition, patients need to devote time to planning and shopping for a low-FODMAP diet, which can also reduce compliance.

Any elimination diet generates concern about potential nutritional deficiencies, inappropriate calorie intake resulting in weight loss, and deleterious consequences in body composition. Farida and colleagues studied the micronutrient intake during the first phase of the low-FODMAP diet, and found a higher daily intake of vitamin B6, but a significantly lower daily intake of calcium, retinol, riboflavin, thiamin, and transfatty acids.

A pilot study analyzed 26 patients with IBS before and after an 8 week–long low-FODMAP diet with regard to nutritional status and body composition, which were evaluated using bioelectrical impedance vector analysis, anthropometric data, and laboratorial serum studies. Although statistically significant changes were observed in serum albumin and lipids after the introduction of a low-FODMAP diet, the differences were very small and the laboratory results remained within normal range. Overall, this study did not show detrimental effects on body composition or nutritional status in a small group of IBS patients treated with a low-FODMAP diet.

Teaching a Low–Fermentable Oligosaccharide, Disaccharide, Monosaccharide, and Polyol Diet to Patients

In all of the studies published to date, including both prospective and retrospective studies, registered dietitians provided diet education to patients. Unfortunately, not all patients have access to dietitians, and most insurance companies will not pay for a nutrition consultation to discuss the implementation of a low-FODMAP diet. Therefore, alternative methods of teaching IBS patients how to follow a low-FODMAP diet are required. Nurse-led dietary counseling has been attempted in 2 different studies, with neither producing conclusive or promising results. Another study focused on a dietitian-led, group education intervention, comparing it to one-on-one education, with promising results: the group-led program was clinically effective and reasonable with regard to costs. Kinrade and colleagues also found that 82% of patients had symptom improvement after receiving low-FODMAP education via group sessions. However, the challenge with group-led discussions for the low-FODMAP diet remains the lack of insurance coverage.
Given the paucity of proven similarly efficacious teaching methods, current guidelines still recommend that low-FODMAP dietary guidance can only be given by a health care professional with expertise in dietary management.53,54 No studies have compared dietitian-led interventions to other methods of dietary management, nor have any studies evaluated an educational program delivered by a dietitian in a one-on-one session vs a group session.

### The Gluten-Free Diet

The elimination of gluten from the diet of IBS patients has demonstrated efficacy beyond patients with celiac disease.13,55-57 A number of studies published within the last 6 years have investigated the role of gluten in patients with IBS. Biesiekierski and colleagues55 enrolled 34 patients meeting Rome III criteria for IBS into a double-blind, placebo-controlled, rechallenge dietary study. Patients had noted previous improvement in IBS symptoms with a gluten-free diet, and were randomized to receive a high-gluten diet (16 g/day) or a gluten-free diet during a 6-week period. For the high-gluten group, carbohydrate-depleted wheat gluten was added to the same gluten-free base mix used for the gluten-free group. The majority of patients exposed to gluten (68%) reported uncontrolled symptoms compared with patients exposed to placebo (40%; P<.001). No differences between rates of celiac serology, fecal lactoferrin, or C-reactive protein levels, or measures of intestinal permeability were found between the groups.

### Table 1. Studies Comparing the Low-FODMAP Diet to Other Dietary Interventions for IBS Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Summary and/or Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Staudacher et al53 | Low-FODMAP diet vs NICE guidelines diet | • 76% of patients in the low-FODMAP group reported satisfaction with symptom control, compared to 54% in the NICE group (P=.038).  
• Better overall symptom response in the low-FODMAP group (86% vs 49%)  
• The low-FODMAP diet was better for bloating, abdominal pain, and flatulence. |
| Halms et al54 | Low-FODMAP diet vs modified-FODMAP diet | The low-FODMAP diet improved overall symptom scores as well as flatulence, abdominal pain, and bloating. |
| Bohn et al59 | Low-FODMAP diet vs traditional IBS diet | Similar results for both diets; no significant statistical difference was found |
| Eswaran et al56 | Low-FODMAP diet vs modified NICE guidelines diet | • 40%-50% of patients experienced some improvement with either diet, but there was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups in overall symptoms.  
• The low-FODMAP diet led to better results for abdominal pain and bloating. |
| Hustoft et al57 | Low-FODMAP diet vs high-FODMAP diet | Three weeks of a low-FODMAP diet improved IBS symptoms, decreased serum levels of proinflammatory IL-6 and IL-8, and decreased levels of fecal bacteria and total SCFAs. |
| McIntosh et al58 | Low-FODMAP diet vs high-FODMAP diet | • Significant reduction in symptoms in the low-FODMAP group  
• Histamine was significantly reduced in the low-FODMAP group by 8-fold.  
• A high-FODMAP diet caused a decrease in gas consumption bacteria, while a low-FODMAP diet was beneficial for the richness and diversity of Actinobacteria. |
| Staudacher et al59 | Sham diet + placebo  
Sham diet + probiotic  
Low-FODMAP diet + placebo  
Low-FODMAP diet + probiotic | • No significant difference between the 4 groups regarding symptom relief and *Bifidobacterium* species  
• Better symptom control was noted with the low-FODMAP diet than with the sham diet, but no difference was seen between the probiotic and placebo groups. |
| Zahedi et al59 | Low-FODMAP diet vs standard dietary advice for diarrhea-predominant IBS | Although both groups had improvement in symptoms, the low-FODMAP diet group had better results for symptom scores, abdominal pain, bloating, stool frequency, and consistency. |

FODMAP, fermentable oligosaccharide, disaccharide, monosaccharide, and polyol; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IL, interleukin; NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; SCFA, short-chain fatty acid.
patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS who did not have celiac disease, and found a reduction in patient-reported stool frequency \((P = .04)\) with a gluten-free diet, with the most pronounced effect in those patients who were HLA-DQ2– or HLA-DQ8–positive \((P = .019)\). Bieszki and colleagues followed up their 2011 study with a double-blind, crossover study of 37 IBS patients of all subtypes without celiac disease who had previously reported improvement with a gluten-free diet for at least 6 weeks before study enrollment. Patients were prescribed a low-FODMAP diet, and, following a 2-week run-in, were randomized to a high-gluten diet \((16 \text{ g/day})\), a low-gluten diet \((2 \text{ g/day})\), or placebo \((\text{no gluten})\). After 1 week, patients were randomized to the second arm, and then the third arm. The 2-week low-FODMAP run-in delivered an improvement in gastrointestinal symptoms \((P < .001)\), whereas during the 1-week diet study period, symptoms worsened in all patients \((P < .001)\) irrespective of their diet. These findings highlight the likely role that factors other than gluten play in patients using a gluten-free diet for IBS symptoms.

Elli and colleagues and Zanwar and colleagues conducted double-blind, placebo-controlled, gluten rechallenge trials in patients with IBS and negative celiac testing for 3 and 4 weeks, respectively. The first study implemented a 7-day crossover using gluten capsules, with 18 of 53 patients \((34\%)\) developing worse symptoms with gluten exposure. However, a substantial number of patients \((14/48; 29.2\%)\) also noted symptoms in the placebo challenge. These findings highlight the likely role that factors other than gluten play in patients using a gluten-free diet for IBS symptoms.

### Challenges of a Gluten-Free Diet

The main limitations of the current literature on gluten-free diets for IBS lie in small study sample sizes and concern for contamination of the vehicle of gluten exposure. To this point, a large meta-analysis reviewing 1726 studies evaluating the efficacy of a gluten-free diet on the management of IBS recently found insufficient evidence to recommend this diet for IBS symptoms, as findings were not statistically significant. Isolation of gluten from the diet without also removing other potential symptom-driving substances is both difficult to study and nebulous for IBS patients. It is possible that many IBS patients improve on a gluten-free diet, as it also reduces fructan intake, a significant component of modern wheat products. Skodje and colleagues performed a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover challenge to discover the effect of gluten \(\text{(without fructan)}\) and the effect of fructan \(\text{(without gluten)}\) in patients with self-reported gluten sensitivity. Their results weaken the role of gluten and strengthen the symptom-inducing effect of fructans in patients with self-reported sensitivity to rye, wheat, and barley.

### Additional Diets for the Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Given that 70% to 89% of patients with IBS report exacerbation of symptoms with specific foods, it is not surprising that patients would attempt to reduce or eliminate symptom-producing foods from their diets. One of the limitations of exclusion diets is that, thus far, clinicians have been unable to identify (and, therefore, unable to develop validated diagnostic testing for) the specific mechanisms by which individual foods cause gastrointestinal symptoms. If such tests existed, a more efficient approach would be possible: clinicians would be able to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encouraged Habits and Behaviors</th>
<th>Limited Intake</th>
<th>Other Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eating regular meals and limiting the volume/amount of food per meal</td>
<td>The intake of fat, spicy foods, and sorbitol (\text{(an artificial sweetener found in diabetic/slacking/sugar-free drinks and sweets)}) should be minimized in patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS or mixed IBS.</td>
<td>If increasing the fiber intake is advised, the option should be for soluble instead of insoluble fiber, as insoluble fiber is discouraged for patients with IBS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating slowly and chewing thoroughly</td>
<td>Daily fruit intake should be limited to 3 portions.</td>
<td>Although adding probiotics is safe in patients with IBS, they do not seem to provide benefit regarding symptom control and, therefore, are not recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long gaps between meals or skipping meals</td>
<td>The intake of coffee, tea, alcohol, and carbonated beverages should be reduced.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IBS, irritable bowel syndrome.

---

**Table 2. General Recommendations for the Traditional IBS Diet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encouraged Habits and Behaviors</th>
<th>Limited Intake</th>
<th>Other Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eating regular meals and limiting the volume/amount of food per meal</td>
<td>The intake of fat, spicy foods, and sorbitol (\text{(an artificial sweetener found in diabetic/slacking/sugar-free drinks and sweets)}) should be minimized in patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS or mixed IBS.</td>
<td>If increasing the fiber intake is advised, the option should be for soluble instead of insoluble fiber, as insoluble fiber is discouraged for patients with IBS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating slowly and chewing thoroughly</td>
<td>Daily fruit intake should be limited to 3 portions.</td>
<td>Although adding probiotics is safe in patients with IBS, they do not seem to provide benefit regarding symptom control and, therefore, are not recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long gaps between meals or skipping meals</td>
<td>The intake of coffee, tea, alcohol, and carbonated beverages should be reduced.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**General Recommendations for the Traditional IBS Diet**

**Encouraged Habits and Behaviors**

- Eating regular meals and limiting the volume/amount of food per meal
- Eating slowly and chewing thoroughly
- No long gaps between meals or skipping meals

**Limited Intake**

- The intake of fat, spicy foods, and sorbitol (an artificial sweetener found in diabetic/slacking/sugar-free drinks and sweets) should be minimized in patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS or mixed IBS.
- Daily fruit intake should be limited to 3 portions.
- The intake of coffee, tea, alcohol, and carbonated beverages should be reduced.

**Other Considerations**

- If increasing the fiber intake is advised, the option should be for soluble instead of insoluble fiber, as insoluble fiber is discouraged for patients with IBS.
- Although adding probiotics is safe in patients with IBS, they do not seem to provide benefit regarding symptom control and, therefore, are not recommended.
immediately recommend the elimination of individual foods rather than going through the process of elimination/restriction followed by reintroduction/personalization. There are insufficient data to recommend panel allergy testing with immunoglobulin (Ig) G for patients who meet the criteria for IBS, although this testing is a common request from patients in clinical practice. Furthermore, panel blood tests can cost up to $1000. Based on current guidelines, food-specific serum IgG4 indicates only repeated exposure to food components, and does not represent allergy, intolerance, or hypersensitivity.

Prior to the growing popularity of the low-FODMAP diet, a traditional IBS diet had been routinely recommended in clinical practice in the United Kingdom, and dietary guidelines were published and updated by NICE. Contrary to the low-FODMAP diet, the traditional IBS diet focuses on the number of meals, and when, how, and how much to eat, rather than the content of the diet itself. General recommendations for the traditional IBS diet are summarized in Table 2. Although the NICE or modified NICE recommendations have not been compared to placebo, there are 3 trials comparing them to the low-FODMAP diet, all showing similar positive results for the studied diets.

A study by Lenhart and colleagues found that the majority of gastroenterologists practicing in the United States recommend dietary changes to more than 75% of their IBS patients, but very few (21%) refer them to a dietitian. The diets most commonly recommended by gastroenterologists for IBS patients are low-FODMAP, lactose-free, high-fiber, gluten-free, and low-fat diets.

Besides the previously discussed low-FODMAP and gluten-free diets, over half of IBS patients decide to self-manage and follow different dietary interventions to improve their symptoms prior to seeking advice from a gastrointestinal physician. The Paleolithic diet, very low–carbohydrate diet (or ketogenic diet), and IgG-based avoidance diet are commonly recommended in clinical practice and on blogs and different social media channels. However, there is a lack of substantial evidence for the majority of these specialized diets, as summarized in Table 3.

### Patients as Consumers

The notion from Hippocrates of food as medicine seems to be regaining popularity, especially among millennials, who are interested in a holistic approach both for disease prevention and for treatment, and prefer nonpharmacologic interventions, if available. Driven by consumers’ desire for foods that optimize health and/or prevent chronic illnesses, the market for functional foods has been one of the fastest-growing existing food sectors over the last decade.

The general trend of consumer commitment to gluten-free diets has sparked a dramatic growth in this market, increasing 136% from 2013 to 2015, and, thus, creating an $11.6 billion annual industry as of 2015.

As previously mentioned, the complexity of the low-FODMAP diet and its different phases is a limiting factor for its use and compliance. In an effort to assist patients, Dr Gibson’s group at Monash University created a certification program to assist consumers to easily identify low-FODMAP foods. The online application is available for smartphones, and is designed to help patients choose the dishes and ingredients that are appropriate for the different phases of their diet.

### Considerations Regarding Dietary Interventions in Irritable Bowel Syndrome Patients

The concern about orthorexia, or orthorexia nervosa, is another important factor when recommending an elimination or restrictive diet. The term orthorexia was first introduced in the literature in 1998 to describe an obsession with healthy eating, and, although not formally recognized as a disease by the most recent Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, the number of publications and social media references to the term is on the rise. Signs and symptoms described in the literature include compulsive checking of nutritional labels; avoidance of a high number of food groups; inability to eat anything not deemed healthy, clean, or pure; high levels of stress in relation to eating; and unhealthy time investment in planning or worrying about future meals.

There are no studies currently linking IBS and orthorexia, nor orthorexia and a low-FODMAP diet or a gluten-free diet. However, orthorexia may innocently start as a simple desire to improve one’s eating habits or health, such as with a recommended IBS diet, and then slowly evolve into toxic and anxiety-generating behaviors.

Another eating disorder that could be of concern when treating IBS patients with dietary modifications is avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder (ARFID). This is characterized by an avoidant and/or restrictive eating behavior that negatively impacts the intake of macro- or micronutrients, potentially causing calorie and/or protein malnutrition. ARFID can be distinguished from anorexia nervosa by the lack of worry about one’s weight. For this reason, when following IBS patients undergoing dietary changes, clinicians should be vigilant to the development of extreme and unnecessary dietary restrictions and avoidant behaviors that are not objectively beneficial to the patients and could cause nutritional deficiencies in the long term.
**Table 3. Evidence Behind Other Diets Used to Treat IBS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Diet</th>
<th>Description of Diet</th>
<th>Supporting Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactose-free diet</td>
<td>No lactose-containing products, except if products are treated with lactase (ie, lactose-free cow’s milk, lactose-free yogurt)</td>
<td>Only helpful for patients with lactose intolerance; not efficacious for patients with IBS without lactose intolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fructose/fructan diet</td>
<td>Avoid foods high in fructose and fructans.</td>
<td>Observational studies and RCTs. Benefits on symptoms, but results highly rely on compliance. Data mostly limited to patients with IBS with breath tests proving fructose malabsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleolithic diet</td>
<td>Only foods available during the Paleolithic era: seafood, lean meat, fruits, vegetables, nuts, and seeds; no processed food, dairy, added salt, added sugar, grains, legumes, or alcohol</td>
<td>No studies available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific carbohydrate diet</td>
<td>Reduce ingestion of disaccharides and polysaccharides.</td>
<td>Trend toward improvement in symptoms, but not statistically significant; inferior results compared to the low-FODMAP diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG-based avoidance diet</td>
<td>Exclude foods to which patients have increased serum IgG antibodies.</td>
<td>Compared to healthy controls, patients with IBS seem to have higher levels of IgG antibodies to common foods, such as egg, crab, shrimp, soybean, and wheat. Severity of symptoms does not seem to correlate with antibody titers. By following an IgG-based avoidance diet, IBS patients had a small reduction in symptoms, varied based on the degree of compliance (10%-26%) when compared to a sham diet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low-carbohydrate diet</td>
<td>Maximum of 20 g of carbohydrates per day.</td>
<td>Only one study has been conducted, with a total of 13 patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS. 77% of patients (10/13) noted adequate relief of symptoms; specifically, improvement was seen in stool frequency and consistency, quality of life, and pain scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fat diet</td>
<td>Less than 27 g of fat per day, considering a diet of 2000 kcal/day</td>
<td>Several observational studies and noncontrolled trials to induce or evaluate symptoms, but no RCTs. High-fat intake is able to induce symptoms in patients with IBS. Decreasing fat intake may have benefits, specifically for patients with meal-related abdominal pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-fiber diet</td>
<td>Less than 10-15 g of fiber/day</td>
<td>No evidence available; common practice is to recommend decreasing fiber for patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS to increase transit time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-histamine diet</td>
<td>Decrease the amount of histamine-releasing foods. Foods to be avoided include alcoholic beverages, processed or semiprocessed oily fish, shellfish, cured meat, aged cheeses, fermented foods, chocolate, eggs, some specific fruits (eg, kiwi, citrus, pineapple, papaya), and nuts.</td>
<td>Several studies for dermatologic conditions, such as chronic spontaneous urticaria and atopic dermatitis, and one study for pediatric patients with chronic digestive complaints. No evidence to support this diet for IBS currently</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FODMAP, fermentable oligosaccharide, disaccharide, monosaccharide, and polyol; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; Ig, immunoglobulin; RCT, randomized, controlled trial.
Summary

The role of diets for the treatment of IBS symptoms is complex and remains poorly defined. Investigations into the relationship between diet and IBS symptoms have been limited by small sample sizes, placebo effects, and the lack of specificity of symptoms. More complicated diets are difficult for patients, and patient recall of diet is often poor. Cheap, effective, point-of-care testing for food intolerances are lacking, and cross-contamination of specific dietary IBS triggers is likely prevalent. Initial management of IBS with dietary adjustment involves either single-food elimination for common culprits such as lactose and fructose, or potentially a larger elimination diet (eg, low-FODMAP) with targeted reintroduction after 4 weeks, under the guidance of a registered dietician. Expensive and unproven commercial food-specific allergy testing should be avoided. Future larger studies likely requiring multicenter designs are needed to further define the efficacy of specific dietary options for IBS patients.

The authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

88. Dinsidi E,rossi M, Whelan K. Irritable bowel syndrome and diet: where are