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Abstract: The capabilities of interventional gastrointestinal endoscopy have significantly increased over the past several decades. Improvements in devices and techniques have eased the transfer of novel concepts from bench to bedside. The concept of submucosal endoscopy with mucosal flap safety valve has enabled endoscopists to securely use submucosal space, or third space. Peroral endoscopic myotomy was the initial procedure performed utilizing submucosal space in patients with achalasia. Subsequently, this technique has been used successfully for removal of subepithelial tumors from the esophagus and the stomach. All third-space endoscopy procedures use a similar technique—a submucosal tunnel is created, and then a myotomy is performed or a subepithelial tumor is dissected away from the initial site of the mucosal incision. The other potential indications for third-space endoscopy include refractory gastroparesis, Zenker diverticulum, and restoration of completely obstructed esophageal lumen. Although the emerging data look promising for peroral endoscopic myotomy and pyloromyotomy, randomized studies with long-term follow-up are lacking. Submucosal endoscopy is largely safe, and the occurrence of major adverse events is uncommon. Therefore, the majority of third-space endoscopy procedures can be performed in an endoscopy suite. The most frequently encountered adverse events during submucosal endoscopy include those related to insufflation, bleeding, and perforations.

The scope of flexible endoscopy has increased with the introduction of natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES). In the current era, endoscopists have gained access into the second space (peritoneal cavity) and third space (intramural or submucosal space). One of the major concerns with NOTES is the secure closure of the entry point into these spaces. This concern has largely been addressed with the introduction of the submucosal endoscopy with mucosal flap safety valve (SEMF)
The choice of some of the accessories, such as the electrosurgical knife and the distal attachment, is usually based on the operator’s preference. Electrosurgical knives with water jet function may be chosen to reduce the need for replacing accessories. Diluted indigo carmine or methylene blue solutions with or without epinephrine are used for submucosal injections. These dyes are preferentially taken up by the submucosa and, therefore, guide the dissection process.

Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy

The endoscopic management of achalasia and nonachalasia spastic esophageal motility disorders has advanced with the introduction of POEM. Esophageal POEM (E-POEM) is the most studied of the third-space endoscopy procedures currently being performed. The technique involves the following steps: a submucosal bleb raising, a mucosal incision, tunneling in the submucosa, a myotomy, and closure of the mucosal incision (Figure 2). The length of the myotomy is decided by the type of achalasia on high-resolution manometry. A longer myotomy is required for type III achalasia and nonachalasia spastic esophageal motility disorders, such as diffuse esophageal spasm and jackhammer esophagus.

The available evidence suggests excellent short- and mid-term results with E-POEM in treatment-naive cases of achalasia. However, the data are limited regarding the long-term efficacy of E-POEM. In 2 studies...
evaluating long-term response, the clinical success at 5-year follow-up was 83% and 87.1%, respectively.8,9 Emerging data suggest that E-POEM is equally effective in treatment-failure cases with achalasia.11-15 In a large study that included 502 patients, clinical success at 3 years was 87.1% and 76.3% in treatment-naive and treatment-failure cases, respectively.14

POEM has been found to be more effective than pneumatic dilatation at 1-year follow-up (92.2% vs 70%, respectively).16 The advantages of E-POEM over Heller myotomy include reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospitalization, shorter operating time, and less blood loss.17-20 Therefore, E-POEM has the potential to become the treatment of choice in a variety of spastic as well as nonspastic motility disorders of the esophagus (Table 2).

Submucosal Endoscopy Beyond Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy

Submucosal Tunneling Endoscopic Resection

Small submucosal lesions of the upper GI tract are usually benign and do not require resection. Some of these tumors, especially those larger in size (>3 cm) and arising from the muscularis propria, bear malignant potential. Traditionally, a thoracoscopic approach has been utilized to enucleate these submucosal tumors. With submucosal endoscopy, these tumors can be safely and efficiently resected endoscopically. This technique, also known as endoscopic submucosal tunneling dissection or submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection (STER), is based on principles similar to those of E-POEM (ie, the SEMF technique). Most research has evaluated STER for esophageal and gastric submucosal tumors,21-23 although the feasibility of STER for rectal submucosal tumors has also been demonstrated recently.24

Preprocedural imaging, including endoscopic ultrasonography and/or computed tomography, is essential to determine the depth of the tumor and its relationship with the surrounding structures. The factors that determine the feasibility and ease of STER include the size and location of the submucosal tumor. En-bloc removal of submucosal tumors greater than 3.5 cm and those irregular in shape is difficult. Likewise, submucosal tunneling is more challenging for submucosal tumors situated deep in the fundus or along the lesser curvature. Management in such cases should be individualized, and alternative treatment strategies, such as endoscopic full-thickness resection, should be considered when appropriate.

Table 1. Equipment and Accessories Commonly Used During Third-Space Endoscopy (Partial List)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model Numbers and Manufacturers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endoscope</td>
<td>GIF-HQ190, Olympus (Outer diameter of 9.2 mm, integrated water channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrosurgical</td>
<td>VIO 300 D, Erbe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generators</td>
<td>ESG-300, Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>UCR, Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufflators</td>
<td>CO2MPACT, US Endoscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Flow Gas Tube</td>
<td>MAJ-1742, Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Extra Low–Flow</td>
<td>MAJ-1816, Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrosurgical</td>
<td>Triangle Tip Knife (KD-640L, Olympus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knives</td>
<td>HybridKnife (20150-060, Erbe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triangle Tip Knife J (KD-645L, Olympus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HookKnife (KD-620LR, Olympus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ITknife2 (KD-611L, Olympus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation</td>
<td>Coagrasper (FD-410/411UR/412LR, Olympus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic</td>
<td>OFP-2, Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing Pumps</td>
<td>ERBEJET 2, Erbe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Peroral endoscopic myotomy in a patient with achalasia: (A) submucosal lifting injection with saline and indigo carmine, (B) submucosal tunneling with a triangular knife with integrated water jet (Triangle Tip Knife J, KD-645L, Olympus), (C) confirmation of gastric extension of submucosal tunnel by bluish discoloration of cardia, (D) selective circular myotomy in proximal tunnel, (E) completion of myotomy (note full-thickness myotomy toward the distal end of the tunnel), and (F) closure of the mucosal incision with clips.
STER is performed under general anesthesia with the same tools as those described for E-POEM. The steps of the STER procedure are as follows: submucosal injection of saline mixed with indigo carmine dye 3 to 5 cm proximal to the tumor location, mucosal incision, submucosal tunneling that extends 1 to 2 cm distal to the tumor, dissection of the tumor from the surrounding tissue and muscularis propria, en-bloc removal of the tumor with a snare, and finally closure of the incision (Figure 3).

The efficacy and safety of STER have been demonstrated in multiple small to large studies (Table 3).25-29 In a large retrospective study, STER was performed in 290 patients with subepithelial tumors localized in the esophagus, esophagogastric junction, and stomach. The median tumor size was 21 mm (range, 10-70 mm). Overall, en-bloc resection was achieved in 89.3% of patients.25 High rates of en-bloc resection have also been confirmed in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis that included 28 studies. The en-bloc resection rate in this review was 94.6% (95% CI, 91.5%-96.7%).21 The main factors that preclude en-bloc resection are large size and irregular shape of the tumor.22,30,31 In studies comparing STER with thoracoscopic enucleation, the 2 modalities were found to be equally effective. However, STER was associated with shorter operating time, reduced postoperative chest pain, and shorter hospitalization.31,32

**Gastric Peroral Endoscopic Pyloromyotomy**

Gastroparesis is defined as delayed gastric emptying in the absence of a mechanical obstruction. A subset of patients do not respond to dietary interventions and medications. The endoscopic armamentarium in patients with refractory gastroparesis is limited. Endoscopic injection of botulinum toxin and transpyloric stenting have been performed in these patients with the aim of decreasing gastric outflow resistance due to the pyloric sphincter. However, injection of botulinum toxin did not prove effective in randomized trials,33,34 and transpyloric stenting was associated with high stent migration rates.35

Among surgical options, laparoscopic pyloroplasty has been shown to be safe and effective in patients with refractory gastroparesis.36 It seems logical that endoscopic myotomy of the pyloric sphincter may reproduce the results of surgical pyloroplasty. Endoscopic pyloromyotomy was initially described in patients with postoperative pyloric stenosis and later in pediatric patients with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients, N</th>
<th>Median Follow-Up, Months</th>
<th>Efficacy, %</th>
<th>Major Adverse Events, %</th>
<th>Reflux, %: 24-hr pH Study/Endoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inoue et al7</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>≥36</td>
<td>91.0 (1-2 years) 88.5 (≥3 years)</td>
<td>3.2 (overall) NA/59.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabi et al14</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.9 (1 year)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>28.9/21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al15 (HM and non-HM)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>28 and 23</td>
<td>95.7 and 95.1</td>
<td>0 and 2.9</td>
<td>50.0/46.2 and 47.9/34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumbhari et al65</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>57.8/23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngamruengphong et al10</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>37.5/18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HM, Heller myotomy; NA, not available.

**Figure 3.** Submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection in a patient with an esophageal subepithelial tumor: (A) a subepithelial tumor in the esophagus, (B) submucosal lifting injection and mucosal incision at approximately 3 cm from the lesion, (C) submucosal tunneling extending until approximately 2 cm distal to the tumor, (D) dissection of the tumor from the surrounding tissue, (E) grasping of the dissected tumor with a snare, and (F) closure of the incision with clips.
congenital pyloric stenosis. However, the technique described in these studies did not appear to be risk-free, as it involved freehand incision with a needle knife directly over the pyloric ring. After the establishment of E-POEM for achalasia, initial animal studies revealed the feasibility of performing endoscopic pyloromyotomy with the submucosal tunneling technique. The technique and accessories used for gastric peroral endoscopic myotomy (G-POEM, also known as peroral pyloromyotomy or POP) are essentially similar to those of POEM for esophageal achalasia. In brief, the steps include submucosal injection at 7 o’clock along the greater curvature of the stomach and approximately 4 to 5 cm proximal to the pyloric ring, mucosal incision, submucosal tunneling, myotomy involving the pyloric muscle, and finally closure of the incision using endoclips. It is important to repeatedly confirm the path of the submucosal tunnel by looking at the bluish hue from the injected dye near the pyloric ring. Alternatively, fluoroscopy can be utilized to guide the orientation of the tunneling. The procedure time was significantly shorter in fluoroscopy-guided G-POEM compared to conventional G-POEM.

Initial studies evaluating G-POEM have shown encouraging results, with significant improvements in subjective as well as objective parameters, such as the Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index and the gastric emptying study (GES) (Table 4). Khashab and colleagues evaluated the outcome of G-POEM in 30 patients with refractory gastroparesis due to various etiologies (idiopathic, diabetic, and postsurgical). The procedure was successfully completed in all of the patients, and clinical improvement was observed in 26 patients (86%) during a median follow-up of 5.5 months. In the same study, complete resolution of nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain was observed in 47%, 53%, and 53% of patients, respectively. In another study, G-POEM resulted in significant improvements in overall symptoms, objective gastric emptying, and quality of life. Mean 4-hour gastric retention on GES decreased from 62.9% ± 24.3% to 17.6% ± 16.7% (P = .007) after G-POEM.

It is important to note that none of these studies were randomized and that they included small numbers of patients with short follow-up durations (Table 4). Therefore, it would be premature to draw conclusions from the early results of G-POEM for the management of refractory gastroparesis.

Other Indications for Third-Space Endoscopy

Submucosal tunneling has also been explored for other indications, such as Zenker diverticulum and complete occlusion of esophageal lumen after chemoradiation. Other potential applications of third-space endoscopy using the submucosal tunneling technique include perrectal endoscopic myotomy for Hirschsprung disease or internal anal sphincter achalasia and transesophageal mediastinoscopy. The use of submucosal endoscopy for these indications has been restricted to isolated case reports, small case series, and animal studies. Therefore, further evaluation is required prior to wider clinical application.
Zenker Diverticulum

Zenker diverticulum is an uncommon condition and is usually managed by endoscopic division of the septum between the esophageal and diverticular lumen. An endoscopic approach is preferred over surgical treatment, as the former is associated with fewer complications, shorter procedure duration, and shorter length of hospital stay.53 However, symptoms recur in approximately 11% of patients and may be higher than with surgery.53,54 Symptom recurrence after flexible endoscopic treatment is mainly due to incomplete division of the septum. Recently, the submucosal tunneling technique has been utilized to safely divide the septum.52,55,56 Because the mucosa is preserved—unlike with conventional endoscopic myotomy, where both the mucosa and muscle are divided—the risk of perforation is reduced. This technique has been termed submucosal tunneling endoscopic septum division (abbreviated as either STESD or Z-POEM [POEM for Zenker diverticulum]).52,55-57 The accessories and initial steps of this technique, including mucosal incision and submucosal tunneling, are similar to those of the POEM procedure. The tunnel is fashioned on both sides of the septum and extended 1 to 2 cm distal to the base of the diverticulum.56 This ensures complete division of the septum.

Complete Esophageal Occlusion

Complete obliteration of the esophageal lumen is rare and usually occurs after chemoradiation for esophageal malignancies. A combined endoscopic approach (antegrade plus retrograde) has been successfully utilized for restoration of the esophageal lumen in such cases.58 However, there is a risk of perforation, as this is a semi-blind procedure. In addition, lumen restoration may not be possible in cases where the length of obstruction is long (>3-4 cm).59 Endoscopic submucosal tunneling for restoration of the esophageal lumen is a novel approach that has been described recently.51,60-62 This technique, known as peroral endoscopic tunneling for restoration of the esophagus (POETRE), has been described in detail by Wagh and colleagues.51 In POETRE, an antegrade or retrograde submucosal tunnel is created depending on the location of the esophageal stricture. The fibrotic tissue encountered during submucosal tunneling is carefully dissected. The second endoscope (ie, retrograde endoscope) is passed through the gastrostomy site to guide dissection through
the first endoscope. Once the endoscopes are in close proximity, the retrograde endoscope is passed into the tunnel to grasp a guidewire passed through the antegrade endoscope. Subsequently, a self-expandable metal stent is placed through the tunnel to maintain the patency of the new esophageal lumen that has been created. Wagh and colleagues described POETRE in 4 patients with complete esophageal obstruction of at least 3 cm in length. The procedure was successful in all of the patients, with significant improvement in mean dysphagia score. However, the patients required endoscopic dilations after the POETRE procedure.

Adverse Events of Third-Space Endoscopy

Because the submucosal plane is close to the mediastinum or peritoneum, the consequences of insufflation are not infrequent and are more or less similar across the plethora of procedures performed under the umbrella of third-space endoscopy. Besides adverse events associated with insufflation, the other commonly encountered adverse events include intraoperative bleeding and inadvertent mucosotomy or perforation (Figure 4).

The occurrence of major adverse events is uncommon with POEM. In a large multicenter study that included 1826 patients, the overall prevalence of bleeding (intraoperative and delayed) and mucosotomy was 0.9% and 2.8%, respectively. Severe adverse events, including intraoperative bleeding, perforation, cardiac arrhythmia, esophageal leak, capnomediastinum, and pulmonary events (pneumonia and empyema), occurred in 0.5% of patients. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is an adverse event that is particular to POEM in contrast to other submucosal tunneling procedures. POEM is not accompanied by an antireflux procedure—unlike Heller myotomy, in which a partial fundoplication is performed to prevent postoperative GERD. Therefore, the prevalence of GERD is likely higher after POEM as compared to Heller myotomy with fundoplication. However, although the occurrence of GERD is common after POEM, it is usually asymptomatic in the majority of cases. In a multicenter study, GERD was detected by 24-hour pH analysis in 57.8% of patients, whereas erosive esophagitis was present in 23.2% of patients.

The overall incidence of complications with STER ranges from 5% to 25%. Similar to POEM, insufflation-related adverse events are the most common. However, the majority of these do not require an intervention. In a large study that included 290 patients, the overall incidence of complications was 23.4%. These complications included mucosal injury (1.0%), major bleeding (1.7%), pneumothorax (3.1%), and thoracic effusions (3.8%). Of these complications, only 10.0% required an intervention. Predictors of complications include tumors of large size and irregular shape, tumors arising from the deep muscularis propria layer, resection of synchronous lesions, use of air for insufflation, and long operative time.

It is important to acknowledge that the majority of insufflation-related events do not require any intervention and, therefore, are not adverse events in a true sense. Likewise, intraprocedural bleeding and perforation can be managed in most cases without any untoward consequences. Variability in the reported occurrence of adverse events in different studies is partly due to differences in the definitions used to describe them. In addition, the use of air instead of carbon dioxide for insufflation is associated with a high occurrence of adverse events. A standardized classification system is required for uniform reporting of adverse events associated with third-space endoscopy procedures.
Summary

Third space, or submucosal space, is a novel operating field and has been utilized in clinical practice for approximately a decade now. In submucosal endoscopy, the integrity of the mucosa is preserved, and a mucosal flap safety valve is fashioned for enhanced safety. Improved devices and techniques have reduced procedure-related complexities and have allowed the endoscopist to perform these procedures in an endoscopy suite. Initially utilized for achalasia, third-space endoscopy procedures are now being used for other indications, such as subepithelial tumors, refractory gastroparesis, and Zenker diverticulum. As our understanding of this space improves, the future of third-space endoscopy holds promise in diagnostic as well as therapeutic GI endoscopy.
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