Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination Rates in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease
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**Abstract:** Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are at an increased risk for vaccine-preventable illnesses, such as pneumococcal pneumonia and influenza. We hypothesized that a patient-directed educational program would increase vaccination rates of patients with IBD. We developed a written educational form that was given to all patients over a 15-month period. The form included information about the importance of vaccination and asked patients about their vaccination status. If patients indicated that they were not vaccinated, they were offered a vaccination at the time of their visit. For influenza, the vaccination rates during 3 seasons were compared. For pneumococcal pneumonia, the vaccination rates during a 6-month period before the introduction of the educational program and the rates during the 15-month period after implementation of the intervention were compared.

Our form increased the percentage of patients who reported having an influenza vaccination (23% vs 47%; *P < .001*) and the percentage of patients who reported having a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination (21% vs 32%; *P < .001*). We concluded that a simple written educational form designed to assess vaccination status and enable providers to offer same-day influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia vaccinations resulted in a significant increase in influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination rates among patients in an IBD specialty clinic.

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are at an increased risk for vaccine-preventable illnesses, such as influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia. This risk is exacerbated by the use of corticosteroids, immunomodulators (azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and methotrexate), and biologic therapy (infliximab [Remicade, Janssen], adalimumab [Humira, AbbVie], and certolizumab pegol [Cimzia, UCB]). Despite this increased risk, vaccination rates for patients with IBD remain low. Guidelines recommend that patients on immunosuppressive medications be vaccinated yearly for influenza. New Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines in the United States recommend that adult patients on...
immunosuppressive medications be vaccinated once with pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals), followed by pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (PPSV23, Merck & Co) at least 8 weeks later; they should then receive a second dose of the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine 5 years after the first dose and then a third dose after the age of 65 years. The underutilization of vaccines for patients with IBD has frequently been reported in the literature. In a survey of 169 patients with IBD, only 28% reported regularly receiving an influenza vaccination, and only 9% reported having received a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination.3,4

Numerous barriers exist to increasing the vaccination rates; these include general apathy on the part of the public, fears and concerns about the side effects of vaccination, and costs associated with the storage and administration of vaccines. There are also several logistical barriers, such as the location of clinics and wait times to see a physician. In the 1996 Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey, which included approximately 16,000 Medicare beneficiaries, the most common reason reported by patients for not receiving both influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations was not knowing that the vaccinations were needed.5 The report also found that vaccination rates were lower among racial and ethnic minorities than among non-Hispanic whites. Lashuay and colleagues assessed attitudes and beliefs about immunization among African-American parents and found that 62% thought that disease was possible after shots, 27% feared contracting HIV from needles, and 19% thought that pain was a barrier to vaccination.6

Many strategies have been implemented to increase vaccination rates. The Minneapolis Health Department flu shot program was able to sustain vaccination rates of 60% or greater for high-risk patients. A major component of this program was allowing nurses to administer vaccinations without a signed order from a physician. This model has been carried out across many vaccination programs.7 Other successful strategies include removing financial barriers and providing alternative places where vaccines can be obtained (eg, churches, schools, pharmacies, and vaccine clinics).

Given the low vaccination rates in the high-risk population of patients with IBD, we designed a simple intervention program in our department, with the goal of increasing the rates of influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination to 60% in patients with IBD.

Methods

Study Subjects
The study population consisted of patients with IBD evaluated at the Center for Digestive Disorders at Boston Medical Center in Boston, Massachusetts. Boston Medical Center is a safety net hospital where 27% of the patients do not speak English.8 Our study was examined by the Internal Review Board and was determined to be exempt research, as there was minimal risk to human subjects.

Study Questionnaire
We developed a 1-page form that assessed vaccination status and provided educational information about pneumococcal pneumonia vaccinations (see supporting online material at www.gastroenterologyandhepatology.net). Educational information was not provided for the influenza vaccination because it is a standard of care for our medical team to inform patients that yearly influenza vaccinations are recommended. Patients were asked whether or not they had been vaccinated against influenza during the current influenza season or against pneumococcal pneumonia in their lifetime. Patients were given the questionnaire upon arrival for their office visit and were asked by the medical assistant to complete the form. If patients indicated that they had not been vaccinated, they were offered a vaccination at the time of their visit. Each patient’s responses were verified in the chart by the medical team. If a patient was unsure about his or her vaccination status, and there was no documentation in the chart, the patient was offered a vaccine. Patients were offered an influenza vaccination only during the influenza season (September-February). Pneumococcal pneumonia vaccinations were offered year-round. After administration of the form had been piloted on Wednesdays and Thursdays of December 2012 and January 2013, the form was implemented into our practice in February of 2013. Data were recorded in a Microsoft Excel database and analyzed with an unpaired t test. A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of Study Participants
During our intervention from February 2013 to May 2014, a total of 692 unique patients with IBD were seen in the Center for Digestive Disorders at Boston Medical Center. Their mean age was 45.2 years, and 53.2% were female; in addition, 64.4% were white, 17.6% black/African American, 9.8% Hispanic/Latino, 5.9% other, and 2.2% Asian.

Study Outcomes and Statistics
During the pilot period (Wednesdays and Thursdays from December 2012 through January 2013), the mean number of vaccinations given per week increased from 2.6 (vaccines administered Mondays, Tuesdays, and Fridays) to 4.1 (vaccines administered Wednesdays and Thursdays) for influenza (P<.05) and from 0.2 (vaccines administered Mondays, Tuesdays, and Fridays) to 5.0 (vaccines administered
Effect of the Intervention on Pneumococcal Pneumonia Vaccination Rates

Before the intervention (May 2012- November 2012), 21% (39/187) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. During the pilot period (December 2012-January 2013), 16% (10/63) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. During the period after full implementation of our intervention (February 2013- May 2014), 32% (71/219) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. Individuals during the pilot period were not more likely to receive a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination when compared with individuals in the prepilot period (P=.391). Individuals in the intervention period were significantly more likely to receive a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination when compared with individuals in the prepilot period (P<.001) and when compared with individuals during the pilot period (P<.001; Figure 3).

Discussion

We found that we could achieve a significant increase in the influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination rates among patients with IBD with a simple intervention in which the importance of these vaccinations was explained to patients and the vaccinations were then made available at the time of the patients’ office visits with their gastroenterology providers. Vaccination rates for pneumococcal pneumonia were not significantly increased during the pilot period compared with the prepilot period; however, a significant increase in vaccination rates occurred during the full implementation of our intervention. The low vaccination rates during the pilot period may be attributed to the small sample size. Although many patients with IBD are familiar with their gastroenterology providers. Vaccination rates for pneumococcal pneumonia were not significantly increased during the pilot period compared with the prepilot period; however, a significant increase in vaccination rates occurred during the full implementation of our intervention. The low vaccination rates during the pilot period may be attributed to the small sample size. Although many patients with IBD are familiar with their gastroenterology providers. Vaccination rates for pneumococcal pneumonia were not significantly increased during the pilot period compared with the prepilot period; however, a significant increase in vaccination rates occurred during the full implementation of our intervention. The low vaccination rates During the intervention (May 2012-November 2012), 21% (39/187) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. During the pilot period (December 2012-January 2013), 16% (10/63) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. During the period after full implementation of our intervention (February 2013-May 2014), 32% (71/219) of patients with IBD reported having had the pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination in their lifetime. Individuals during the pilot period were not more likely to receive a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination when compared with individuals in the prepilot period (P=.391). Individuals in the intervention period were significantly more likely to receive a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination when compared with individuals in the prepilot period (P<.001) and when compared with individuals during the pilot period (P<.001; Figure 3).

Discussion

We found that we could achieve a significant increase in the influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination rates among patients with IBD with a simple intervention in which the importance of these vaccinations was explained to patients and the vaccinations were then made available at the time of the patients’ office visits with their gastroenterology providers. Vaccination rates for pneumococcal pneumonia were not significantly increased during the pilot period compared with the prepilot period; however, a significant increase in vaccination rates occurred during the full implementation of our intervention. The low vaccination rates during the pilot period may be attributed to the small sample size. Although many patients with IBD are familiar with their gastroenterology providers.
fewer of these patients are aware of the recommendations regarding pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination.9 Gastroenterologists should be familiar with the current Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for pneumococcal pneumonia vaccination so that patients can be vaccinated appropriately.

A previous study demonstrated similar results through the use of a simple intervention. In 2013, Parker and colleagues reported postintervention vaccination rates of 81% for influenza and 54% for pneumococcal pneumonia.10 The higher influenza vaccination rate in their study compared with the rate in our intervention may be explained by differences in the patient populations. A potential limitation of their study is the absence of demographic data. Approximately 36% of our patients were nonwhite. Ethnic disparities have been shown to contribute to differences in vaccination rates.5

There are some limitations to our intervention. Our vaccination rate for pneumococcal pneumonia during the 15 months of the intervention was 32%. Given the long duration of our intervention, the vaccination rates for pneumococcal pneumonia may have been falsely elevated because these numbers reflect cumulative rates. However, the mean numbers of vaccinations administered for both influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia were significantly greater on pilot period days than on nonpilot period days. Future study designs could include caps on patients to prevent them from being analyzed during return visits, which would allow a more accurate assessment of the long-term sustainability of an intervention. Our intervention was performed prior to the release of the new pneumococcal conjugate vaccine guidelines. More research is needed to understand if additional vaccinations create barriers to implementation of an office vaccination program and the impact on vaccination rates. In addition, our intervention did not capture information on socioeconomic status. It is well known that a low socioeconomic status is a barrier to vaccination.11-15 Future interventions should be geared toward patients with known barriers to vaccination. Lastly, we did not achieve our goal of increasing vaccination rates for influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia to 60%. This highlights the need to acquire a better understanding of the barriers to vaccination and implement methods that will improve the quality of care in patients with IBD.

Panés and colleagues examined changes that could be made to improve the quality of care of patients with IBD. They noted that the struggles of patients with IBD to adhere to medication regimens and complex treatment plans often result in poor outcomes. They suggested that quality interventions are needed to improve clinical outcomes in patients with IBD.16

Our intervention shows that the involvement of nurses and other members of the medical team is critical to increasing and maintaining vaccination rates. Empowering patients by providing them with information through a form, as Panés and colleagues16 have suggested, may have helped to increase the number of patients who received vaccines after our intervention. Guidelines from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices state that standing orders for vaccination are the most effective way to increase vaccination rates.17 Thus, a combined approach of educating patients, involving all members of the medical team, and creating standardized order sets may be the key to improving vaccination rates in patients with IBD. Future studies need to focus on specific barriers to vaccination in this high-risk population of patients.

Conclusion

Gastroenterologists should be aware of the increased risk of vaccine-preventable illnesses in patients with IBD. Practitioners can utilize a simple educational form to increase vaccination rates in patients with IBD.
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Supporting Online Material

Vaccination Form

1. Have you had a flu shot (influenza vaccine) this year? (Circle one.)
   
   YES    NO    I DON’T KNOW

   If “yes,” approximate date of shot: ______________

   Note: You should not get a flu shot if you have had a severe allergic reaction to a prior flu shot or to eggs, or if you ever had Guillain-Barré Syndrome (a severe paralytic illness, also called GBS) that occurred after receiving a flu shot.

2. Have you ever had a Pneumovax (“pneumonia vaccine”)? (Circle one; for information about who should receive the Pneumovax, please see the reverse side.)

   YES    NO    I DON’T KNOW

   If “yes,” approximate date of shot: ______________

Who should get the Pneumovax vaccine?

- All adults 65 years of age and older.
- Anyone 2 through 64 years of age who has a long-term health problem such as: heart disease, lung disease, sickle cell disease, diabetes, alcoholism, cirrhosis, leaks of cerebrospinal fluid, or cochlear implant.
- Anyone 2 through 64 years of age who has a disease or condition that lowers the body’s resistance to infection, such as: Hodgkin’s disease; lymphoma or leukemia; kidney failure; multiple myeloma; nephrotic syndrome; HIV infection or AIDS; damaged spleen or no spleen; or organ transplant.
- Anyone 2 through 64 years of age who is taking a drug or treatment that lowers the body’s resistance to infection, such as: long-term steroids, certain cancer drugs, or radiation therapy.
- Any adult 19 through 64 years of age who is a smoker or has asthma.
- Residents of nursing homes or long-term care facilities.
- Some people should not get the Pneumovax vaccine or should wait:
  - Anyone who has had a life-threatening allergic reaction to vaccinations should not get another dose.
  - Anyone who has a severe allergy to any component of a vaccine should not get that vaccine. Tell your provider if you have any severe allergies.
  - Anyone who is moderately or severely ill when the shot is scheduled may be asked to wait until he or she recovers before getting the vaccine. Someone with a mild illness can usually be vaccinated.
  - Although there is no evidence that Pneumovax is harmful to either a pregnant woman or to her fetus, as a precaution, women with conditions that put them at risk for pneumococcal disease should be vaccinated before becoming pregnant, if possible.